

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2005 LB 70

can go into all of the arguments relative to that issue. As a matter of fact, I don't want to harass Senator Smith's bill, but I have a few amendments here that probably would put mandatory seat belts into this discussion, but I'm not going to do that. I don't think that's fair. I believe in a strong helmet bill. I think we have one. I think the helmet bill we have has proven itself to save lives. I think it's proven itself to save devastating injuries to individuals. I think it's proven itself to save horrible circumstances to the families of persons who have been injured because they have not worn helmets. I think it's been proven that it is extremely expensive to society, to all of us in increased insurance costs, whether it be from riding a motorcycle, to our health insurance costs, to the costs that we bear as taxpayers. I think it's been strongly proven that that's a cost for not wearing a helmet. And I don't think anything that we do in the way of public policy to lessen what we're doing right now would be in the best interests of the citizens of the state of Nebraska. Am I taking away individual's freedom to choose? Yeah, I'll admit that. Do I feel I'm making good public policy in supporting arguments to do that? Yes, I do. Do I think that I should allow people to the right to choose? I think the state of California did that for a while, maybe the state of Nevada, and took away any kind of speed limit, with disastrous effects. I think we could take away all the stop signs on every corner and let people have the right to choose, whether they're the one that stops, whether they kind of yield to the person next to them. I think we could make the case that people can choose a lot of things, and we give them their freedoms and we, as government, shouldn't interfere. This is not a choice matter to me. I think it's public policy that I preserve the lives of the people of the state, I preserve the health of the people of the state, I keep families together of the people of the state, I preserve the tax dollars for the people of the state. That's my job. That's why I was elected to serve. And I will not abdicate that responsibility. I oppose this amendment, and I will continue to advocate for the strong helmet law that we have in place now. And I think all of those organizations who supposedly got in the argument late, I think they're pretty smart people, most of them quite well educated, most of them with, if not Ph.D.s, they're M.D.s or both, a fairly smart group of people. I know that they