

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2005 LB 70

is still the safety mechanism, so keep in mind that we are still going to require motorcycle registrants, those who register motorcycles, to pay an additional fee, so that will go into the fund to cover the course that a lot of folks are wanting to take. They're standing in line, literally, to take that right now. There are waiting lists around the state. But I would say the operative part of this, and it's a compromise that several of you have asked for, and that is the secondary infraction. I know that not everyone will like this. I especially know that because I know there's at least one member who is not happy with the secondary infraction nature of our seat belt law, but that came about...two, excuse me, two members of the Legislature, and I think even perhaps the only two Nebraskans sometimes, but I'm not quite certain. But this is considerably different, and I appreciate those with the input along the way. Again, not everyone is going to like this, but I am confident that more of you like this than the green copy of the bill--little disappointed in that, but I can live with that and so that we can move on. I know that we're still going to need 33 votes, and Senator Byars and Jensen, among others, will probably see to that. But I hope that we can maintain those 33 votes yet today so that we can take some action and move on. And I appreciate Senator Jensen's mention of the lack of time and I would hope that he would do everything in his control to make sure that this bill moves along and does not consume an inordinate amount of time. Again, AM1312 brings the safety mechanism back in, even though the Jensen amendment took it out. I hear from many of you that you want that in there, and I agree with you. I believe that that safety mechanism, as Iowa has shown with the number of people taking the safety training, that brings about some better statistics than we have here in Nebraska, when you compare apples to apples, if you will. And it does, however, leave the eye protection as a primary infraction on...for a motorcyclist. There is still the primary infraction of the protective eyewear because of its two-way nature involving other people or potential involvement of others. That is somewhat different from the helmet issue. Again, this is a compromise, something that I've had to think long and hard about. This is not out of desperation, but it is out of a willingness to compromise so that we can begin to move forward. Thank you, Mr. President.