

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2005 LB 70

sense on its face. The idea that we would pass this, one, provides the opportunity for citizens of the state of Nebraska to make that informed decision on their own, after they had some form of education. The idea that Senator Jensen brought to us was that if we don't pass this that people somehow won't go to Sturgis. That's not the case. They're still going to get there. The question is whether or not they go through Nebraska or not. And to some, they don't want them to go through Nebraska. That is fine. For others, the argument that our current helmet law is something that should be protected at all cost is quite unique. We received an e-mail from an individual that talked about his purchase. He purchased \$170 helmet, which was certified by the DOT sticker on the back. It was manufactured in 1999. It was recalled in the year 2004. So essentially, he wore the helmet for five years knowing...or unknowingly that the helmet was actually useless. It was the towel around his head that Senator Jensen talked about, but in reality that the DOT tests showed that it would crack like an eggshell on impact. The comparable argument would be if we ensure that a certain number of seat belts failed and we required individuals to wear their seat belt. We're not protecting a law that on its face...and Senator Chambers is actually right in this situation, hard as it is to believe, he's actually right that the current law is a sham. It flat-out is. There's been no attempts to improve that. On the federal level there's been no intent to fix that fact that the DOT only tests a certain number of helmets and, of those that they test, they don't meet the qualifications. We're trying to protect something that realistically provides no benefit except the towel that Senator Jensen talked about. So the real question is, why do we want to protect a law that doesn't actually accomplish the goal, and that is the protection of the citizens? We wouldn't support a law, Senator Jensen, that said that you had to have a piece of yarn tied over your shoulder for a seat belt, but yet we'll support a law right now that says that if you wear this helmet that has a DOT sticker on it and it cracks like an eggshell, somehow you're protected. That's not a comfortable position for me to defend. That's not a state law that I think makes sense, but that's where we're at. That's the reality of the law. That's the reality of the equipment that's available and that's the reality of the individuals that engage