

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2005      LB 70

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...Senator Smith can overwhelm and overpower him. But sometimes these cubs need to be shown a thing or two by the old bears. We didn't get as old as we are without knowing something about survival. Cubs may not survive this long, but what they can do is die tonight and be resurrected tomorrow, because that is the nature of youth. Things may look very bleak and life decisions should not be made under the emotions of a perceived defeat. So if perchance Senator Smith does not get his bill, he should realize it's not the end of the world. That's just one of those things which happens. He has seen me soundly trounced twice in a few days, but I don't quit. All it does is provoke me. And I'm going to have more to say, Mr. President. I know everybody is waiting with bated breath, but whether or not they are, I shall speak. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Going on with discussion. Senator Erdman, followed by Senator Schimek and others. Senator Erdman.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, I'm going to rise in some limited form of support for Senator Smith's amendment when, in reality, I took very great interest in Senator Jensen's opposition to it when part of it was his own idea. The idea that we wouldn't enforce the state law for a certain time period was actually his proposal in LB...or in AM1289, so I found it kind of interesting that he would mock or make light of Senator Smith's proposal, and then obviously he backed it up with the facts. The other argument is kind of unique, that somehow by passing FA182 or some form of repealing our helmet law that it somehow helps South Dakota. Senator Jensen, they're going to get there one way or another. That's not the point. The point is whether or not they go through Nebraska, and I think that's been consistent with what Senator Smith has always pointed out. To argue that the reason that we should adopt this and then request South Dakota give us money I don't think is to the level of the discussion or the capabilities of Senator Jensen's arguments. It doesn't make