

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 25, 2005      LB 40

with a divided mind. His logic is flawless. We are not dealing, however, in a vacuum where an economic principle, a budgeting principle can be laid out according to the rules of logic, then adopted. We are in a highly political and highly politicized set of circumstances. Senator Beutler said, and it makes logical sense, that these funds that constitute a revenue source should be treated equally for the purposes of budgeting. I'm oversimplifying, maybe not even stating exactly as he stated it. But as revenues are raised, they should go into the General Fund. Then, when budgeting time comes, make money available to each of those fund recipients on the basis of what their shown need happens to be at the budgeting time. That would be fine if all funds were created equal. It would be fine if the funds that go to the most vulnerable citizens had powerful ongoing organized lobbies. You hear Senator Beutler say that there will be a substantial give...I use this term, giveaway to the big shots. They've got the lobby. They're the ones putting the pressure. And because they can bring a political pressure to bear, the moral force of pressure that is supposed to reside in each person is going to be overwhelmed and eyes will be averted from our most vulnerable citizens in order that there can be the giveaways to the big shots who don't need it. Now, if that happens, again, it will happen over my strenuous opposition. And if a point is reached where it looks like the budget is going to be manipulated so that once again the poor and the vulnerable, the voiceless, the friendless are to be victimized by a supine, spineless Legislature, I'm going to use every delaying, obstructionist tactic I can to stop the budget from being enacted so we can come back for a special session. That's what I'm going to do. So let the business groups chortle because they control a majority of the people on this floor. At other times on other issues, for example, Senator Foley's that will be talking about assaulting a fetus that you can't even see, people will talk about moral values, family values, but they're one-issued, "one-ideaed" individuals. That's why I say their morality is a sham and it's bogus. They hide behind it because they don't want you to attack their issue. But I look at how they deal on matters such as this. We are talking about people in being, a society which pledges that at least the basic or minimum needs of the citizens will be taken care of by the government if they cannot, as individuals, meet those needs