

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2005 LR 8

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you. Yes. Thank you, Senator Schrock. I'll take the time, because I just want to thank you for all those pheasants.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Are you giving your time up, Senator Schimek, that Senator Schrock gave you? Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, friends all, Senator Schrock said these various organizations have asked him to bring this, and mentioned what they think and how they feel. With that I have no quarrel whatsoever. When my children were little, many things they came to me and to their mother asking for. We were not children at that point. We knew better. And we acted on our knowledge, not on their desire, and we told them no. We understand things that people out there don't. We should act on the basis of our knowledge. Senator Schrock, if I did everything people asked me to do, I wouldn't even be alive, and the way I would have left this world would not have been pleasant for me. Sometimes we'll put into the law that a person is culpable if he or she knows or should know, meaning that where knowledge exists, responsibility attaches. We have an understanding, or should have an understanding, of what a constitution is, the types of things that ought to be there, and other things which should not be there and need not be there, and yet can be completely addressed if it becomes necessary to do so. Senator Schrock mentioned that Nebraska was whatever number on the list to put in a hunter protection bill, or whatever it is. They're scared of everything. But the Legislature passed such a bill. So they can get the Legislature to do that kind of stuff. But why contaminate the constitution? Senator Schrock has told us it is not needed. How many times do I have to repeat what he has said? Have you become so locked in that no matter what is said, makes you no difference? Where is the thoughtfulness then? Where is the ability to receive information, process it, and alter a judgment so that your conduct conforms to the new information that you have? It shouldn't even take all this to bring to us the realization that this language in LR 1CA (sic) should not be put on the ballot, and it should not find its way into the constitution by any