

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2005 LR 8

that there is some heightened scrutiny being given by the government to creative activities?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I wouldn't disagree with you there. But can I ask you a question?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Sure.

SENATOR SCHROCK: If you need more time, I'll...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Sure.

SENATOR SCHROCK: If "Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness" were not in the constitution, and I brought a constitutional amendment that would say, in the state of Nebraska we need to protect the right for liberty...life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, would you support that?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Okay. But it is in the Constitution.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But it doesn't need to be there.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I don't know if you've followed me, but I've said there are numerous things in the Nebraska Constitution which should not be there. It could withstand a fumigating. And if people read it, they would find a lot of unnecessary things that would surprise them. So I'm more consistent than you. There are things in there now that I don't think should be there. And I don't think hunting, trapping, and fishing should be. But if hunting, trapping, and fishing are, I certainly think that the creative activities of people should be protected from encroachment by the government. And apparently, you don't think that's the case. Is that correct? Or have I misunderstood your position?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I'm not ready to put that on the ballot. But if you'd want to bring that as a separate constitutional