

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 22, 2005      LR 8

meaning when courts interpret them, I'm presenting something to Senator Schrock which will ensure that the court knows he is talking about forever, unless, as Senator Beutler pointed out, the Legislature sees fit to restrict trapping, hunting, and fishing. And a set of circumstances could be reached where, under the language of Senator Schrock's proposal, these things could be totally abolished. I'd like to ask Senator Schrock a question about this amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schrock, would you yield?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, I will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Schrock, I'd venture to say that you may not have read every proposal in the ten states that you're talking about having adopted something like that. But would you be willing to agree that they probably are very similar to what is before us today?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Yes, I would, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Now, my amendment, which would add the word "and a day" after "forever," would probably strengthen what you're trying to do with this, wouldn't it?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, I haven't analyzed that, so I'm maybe not qualified to answer that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right. That's all I will ask you. But let me ask you this. If I add a day, it certainly doesn't diminish the amount of time that this amendment is to be in effect, does it?

SENATOR SCHROCK: No, it doesn't.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, this is an amendment that doesn't hurt what Senator Schrock is trying to do. But as has been the fate with the others, I do not expect it to be adopted. I want to see LR 8CA fall. I'm doing everything I can to accomplish that. There are political deals and agreements reached by people that you just vote like a fool