

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 21, 2005 LB 70

should be part of this committee. Otherwise, it would be one-sided and we don't want that, and that's why I just thought that five members who were appointed from the Transportation Committee, and five members from the Health Committee that perhaps we could come up with a group that certainly could work together and come up with a determination. And I also would look at the eyewear issue, the training issue, if we could start those fairly soon. There is another issue, Senator Chambers referred to it yesterday, that the helmets are a sham. That's absolutely true. I heard, matter of fact just today, that there are some new motorcycle helmets that are light, that are cooler, that also the sound can come in, which just may make for a lot more comfort and better. But all those things can be included and looked at through this study, and I just think that it could be a way for us to get some information that we wouldn't obtain any other way, that we could, again, move forward with the many issues. Certainly a week from today the budget comes out. I think that's going to be the topic that we'll be discussing for some time. And if this is an issue that we could get taken care of before that, it would certainly be advantageous. And we also have, as you look at the agenda, many other issues to follow this that deserve discussion and I hope that we have time to give them that proper discussion on those issues. So, again, everything that's been mentioned I'm certainly happy to take a look at, but I would urge the body to move ahead with this amendment, a study to look at these issues. I appreciate using the word "suspended" rather than "not enforced." That is not good terminology, so it would sure be better to include that also. With that, I'll return the remainder of my time back to the Chair.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Jensen. Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Thank you, Mr. President and members. Further review of the amendment, again I appreciate the intent and some discussions with some other members. I think that Section 2 of the amendment, that being the enforcement moratorium, if you will, is somewhat problematic and I think there would be a better way of achieving a compromise than this particular approach. Again, I want to emphasize, and I think Senator Beutler pointed to this, that we are, with this current concept