## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

April 21, 2005 LB 70

before and after, maybe it should be two months. I'll listen to the ... certainly, the body on this. Now, is this unusual to have a moratorium, if you would, on an issue like this? I don't think it is setting precedence. We have had moratoriums on everything from library books from the libraries, from traffic tickets and penalties to, that you could do that, and then also we even have in the state of Nebraska, I believe, a road race or rally held out in western Nebraska where we take, actually, a public road, shut it down for a race. So those things are done. This would do two things. First of all, it would allow people to come through the state on a one-time basis, and this would be a one-time basis until another bill is introduced, but to see what the economic impact of that might be, to see how that might The proponents of the repeal feel that perhaps the injuries might go up. This might be an opportunity to look at that. Can you do that in that short a period of time? I don't know, but I think it opens up a window that we can look and see how that works. Now this is a very simple, then, amendment and, no, it doesn't include everything that was in LB 70. It doesn't include training. It doesn't include all of those other issues that I think next year we need to introduce a bill that would do I think everybody in this body feels that training is very important, training, education, and so that needs to be part of that. But all I'm saying is this gives us an opportunity to do a study so that we will have accurate figures, facts, statements to look upon before we make a decision, and then we can go with that at that time. I'm sure that Senator Smith would like to make some changes, perhaps, in this, but I want to at least throw this out to let the body comment on it and to see if this is something that we could enter into. haven't visited, again, with all of the people who were opponents of the repeal. Some might be happy with this. might be a great deal that are not pleased with this approach. But, to me, one, it takes a bill that is very confrontational, that is going to cause us to go to cloture, and allows us to take a good long look at this, and it also allows for a period of time that we can reflect then on that information before we go on. Senator Smith has brought this issue, now this is the second year. He's had two priority bills that he might say are wasted. I don't think so. It has caused us all to think more about this issue. Next year does this have to be a priority