

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 21, 2005 LR 8

regard to trapping, for example, that it may not be a valued part of our heritage in the year 2050. Wouldn't you agree?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Reasonable people could disagree on that, but I would probably say I would think trapping would still be...I would think it could still be a valuable part.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. But you would at least admit theoretically in the year 2050 trapping may not be a valued part of our heritage.

SENATOR SCHROCK: And maybe there's some kind of technology that would replace that. I don't know.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. Now we move on to the part that says "reasonable restrictions." Are we determining what's reasonable today in the year 2025, or are we going to determine what's reasonable to the people who live in 2050 and whose values, let's say hypothetically, they do not any longer value trapping? Reasonable in whose mind?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I would say in this case the Legislature would have control over what's reasonable and what isn't reasonable.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So you would say that in the year 2050, if 80 percent of the people didn't like trapping, that it would be a reasonable restriction on the part of the Legislature at that time to prohibit trapping entirely? Wouldn't you agree?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I would say that it's a slippery slope that we start there and we...and then we go with hunting and then we go with archery hunting and on down the line. That's the slippery slope that I think those of us who enjoy this type of activity are somewhat afraid of.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. But I...I appreciate the consistency of your thought, and part of what you're saying is what is reasonable is what the people of the state believe at a particular point in time. Is it not?

SENATOR SCHROCK: That's true. And I would say the people of