

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 13, 2005      LB 673

animals.      If there is something which is to be done to manage these animals, whatever that word will mean, it is the state's responsibility to do it. When Senator Louden first brought his bill, he had a different approach from the one in this amendment we're discussing. I'd like to ask Senator Louden a question or two.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Louden, would you yield?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yes, of course.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Louden, in your original offering of this bill, the county would have played a much different role in the whole process than would be the case under this amendment. Isn't that true?

SENATOR LOUDEN: In the green copy?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yes, I think it did, I think after it got out of committee. I'd have to look through it again because it's been awhile back since I've looked through the green copy.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm not going to ask you specific things, because that would be difficult for any of us to do. The county didn't like...the counties didn't like it because they didn't want the kind of involvement they would have had under the green copy. Isn't that true?

SENATOR LOUDEN: I didn't think that. I thought the duty of the county wasn't changed that much other than the fact that we put it so they could opt into the management program.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Which meant, under the green copy, it wouldn't be an opt-in scheme, would it have been?

SENATOR LOUDEN: I...right now I don't remember, Senator Chambers. I'll have to...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Then if the...