## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

April 12, 2005 LB 673

SENATOR LOUDEN: ...if I said..."to" and "onto" would be different propositions.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if I say...if I just come to your desk, I haven't violated your order not to come onto your desk. Right?

SENATOR LOUDEN: True.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now, if I break that imaginary line and stand on your desk, then I violated your order. Right?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you see the difference between coming to adjacent property and coming onto adjacent property?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Oh, yes. I see that, yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So should that word be changed from "to" to "onto"?

SENATOR LOUDEN: I would accept that, yeah.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And you're still not a lawyer, right?

SENATOR LOUDEN: I'm still not a lawyer.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But you see the light.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Well, yes, I'm a Christian.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Laugh) Senator Louden, you shouldn't have said it. Thank you very much. Members of the Legislature, you know you should not open that door that lets me start talking about the "Bibble." But I don't have enough time this time, but I'm sure before we finish the discussion I'm going to get into that. But those are some of the things that I see in this bill. No court in the land is going to tell me that if I want these prairie dogs on my land, I cannot let them come up to that other person's property. I cannot be ordered by the Legislature to do