

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 12, 2005      LB 673

requiring a landowner to manage these colonies that have just come up to the adjacent property, that's preposterous. It should not be done. So let me ask you this. On page 2, in line 11, should we strike the word "to" and put "onto" to make it clear that you're not talking about just coming up to the line that separates your property from somebody else's, but it has to cross the line before any duty can be imposed on you? What do you think of that?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Well, if you think it's better legislation to put "onto" rather than "to," I guess, as far as I know, I'm not that much of a lawyer, thank God, but anyway, I would, you know, have to ask somebody if it makes any difference. If it doesn't make any difference, I'll take your word for it. If it's possible that it doesn't make any difference to it and improves the language in it, I would accept it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Louden, I refer to you as the king because of your name. "King," come let us reason together. Let's say that your property line is the front of your desk, and by the front of your desk, I meant that part which is closest to the Clerk. Is there a difference between something coming up to the front of your desk and something coming onto the top of your desk? Is there a difference?

SENATOR LOUDEN: About a quarter inch, probably.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But is there a difference?

SENATOR LOUDEN: I suppose there would be.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So if you say, don't come onto my desk, if I come up to your desk, have I violated that order you gave me?

SENATOR LOUDEN: You say onto?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If I come to...

SENATOR LOUDEN: Oh, no,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...your desk?