

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 12, 2005 LB 673

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yeah, I suppose that would be...yeah, that would be true statement.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. So if it was found that a boundary had been incorrectly drawn and when it was properly drawn prairie dogs were not encroaching on other property but was really on the property of the person who did not mind, if that person whose property they are on had been ordered to enter a management program, that program would be...that order would be nullified. Is that correct?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Well, I don't know if I quite follow you according to the bill, because if they got...if they're on property and a person...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LOUDEN: ...doesn't mind having them on that property, they can stay there. That's up to the property owner to decide.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if the adjacent property owner had a property line that was not properly drawn and, in fact, these animals were still on the property of the person who wanted them there, and when the line was properly drawn it would be shown that they were not on the adjacent property but on that of the person who wanted them, when that line was properly drawn and they were found not to be encroaching, if an order had been given to the one who wanted them there to manage these animals, that order would be nullified, wouldn't it? Well, our time is up so I will...

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...turn on my light. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. (Visitors introduced.) On with discussion. Senator Louden.

SENATOR LOUDEN: As I turned my light on, it was mostly discussion to continue asking for support for this bill. This is something that is probably a necessary management tool for