April 12, 2005   LB 480

why.   In the city of Lincoln, again, I mentioned that we had a
bill that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...we had a bill in committee that would have
basically overturned Lincoln's ban, and again, I don't think
that's necessarily the right course of action now, but we had
people come in and testify that they own cigar stores or
cigarette shops and they can't smoke in those cigarette shops.
Now, I'm a nonsmoker, have been for a number of years, long
time.   I've never...since I stopped smoking 15 years ago,
whatever it was, I've never been in a cigar store or a cigarette
store. So my point is, is that the only people who are going
there are people who want to smoke, so why should they not be
able to do that in that business?   And where it says "merely
incidental," service or other products, that would be a
cigarette or cigar store that sells lighters or pipes or
whatever else that would be related to smoking. So it would
clarify that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time, Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne and Senator Mines.
On with discussion, AM1052, Senator Aguilar, followed by Senator
Chambers.

SENATOR AGUILAR: Thank you, Mr. President.   Members of the
body, irregardless of what Senator Bourne says, his amendment
does fly in the face of local control and I rise in strong
opposition to it.   Last Thursday he was getting up on the floor
and talking about the involvement of big government. Well, what
the heck does this amendment do?   It's big government all over
the place. It's taking away local control, some of the things
that Lincoln has voted by a majority to put into place. It's no
secret that Omaha wants even a stronger ban, so a lot of this
conversation you're hearing today is designed to impact that
down the road.   I think it's sad that, you know, we have to be
more concerned about the bottom line of bars in this state than