

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2005 LB 161

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I'm not sure I do.

SENATOR BOURNE: When it says "benefited to the extent of benefits received,"...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Right.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...that's how much the special assessment against the property will be.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Right.

SENATOR BOURNE: If the actual dollar value of the tree is \$50 and it costs \$20 to put it in, the assessment is \$70. But the way this is worded, if...it's subjective as to how much value or benefit the planting...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...of those trees imparts upon the property. I mean, is the intent...?

SENATOR BEUTLER: No, the intent is that the city can recover its costs, Senator.

SENATOR BOURNE: And not recover the benefits, whatever that may be, the extent of the benefits received?

SENATOR BEUTLER: I believe if we looked in the law, in the case law on the interpretation of benefits, that it would indicate that we're talking about costs.

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay.

SENATOR BEUTLER: It's not an enhancement to real estate value, for example, if that's (inaudible).

SENATOR BOURNE: Okay. And that's what I'm suggesting. The language as drafted would imply that it could be the enhanced value. And you're saying, for the sake of the record, that it