

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2005      LB 161

SENATOR SCHIMEK:      Yes.      And it's very embarrassing, Mr. President. I just screwed up my explanation of what happened. We didn't...weren't going to take out the word "development," we were going to take out the word "comprehensive." Because Omaha calls it a development plan, not a comprehensive development plan and not a comprehensive plan. I apologize. It's my fault. My staff tried to tell me, but she wasn't very successful. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK:      Thank you, Senator Schimek. Mr. Clerk, announcement, please.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Schrock would like to have an Exec Session of the Natural Resources Committee underneath the north balcony now. North balcony, Natural Resources, immediately.

SENATOR CUDABACK:      Thank you, Mr. Clerk. On with discussion of AM1044. Senator Wehrbein. Senator Wehrbein waives his opportunity to speak. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS:      I waive off. Senator Wehrbein answered it. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK:      Thank you, Senator Chambers. Any further discussion on AM1044, offered by Senator Jensen? Senator Jensen, there are no other lights on. You may close on AM1044.

SENATOR JENSEN:      Thank you, Senator Cudaback. I think that we...everybody understands the circumstance, that the only...this would...if you were following the comprehensive development plan, or, as Omaha refers to it, their development plan, and you asked for zoning, you would need a simple majority. If you're doing an exception to that comprehensive development plan, then yes, the supermajority, or whatever that county or city has, would be there. That's all the bill does. I would just ask for its adoption. Or, excuse me, the amendment, AM1044. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK:      Thank you, Senator Jensen. You've heard the closing on AM1044. The question before the body is, shall that