

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2005      LB 739

the first time I've seen it, but is there anything in this amendment from the perspective of laboring people that would be a matter of philosophic difference and a matter of policy dispute? Or would you...or can this fairly be characterized as technical amendments only from the department?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Senator Beutler, I truly believe there is...this is only technical. I don't believe, and to the best of my knowledge, there would be anything in here that labor would object to.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Further discussion, the Cunningham amendment. Seeing no lights on, Senator Cunningham, you're recognized to close. Senator Cunningham waives closing. The question before the body is adoption of the Cunningham amendment, AM1148, to LB 739. All in favor vote aye; opposed, nay. The question before the body is adoption of the Cunningham amendment, AM1148. Record please, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Cunningham's amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: The Cunningham amendment has been adopted. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator Beutler would move to amend with AM1159. (Legislative Journal pages 1152-1153.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, to open on AM1159.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, this is the agreed-upon amendment that Senator Cunningham and myself have filed, but which Senator Chambers has also been a very active part of the discussion on, and it goes to that part of the bill that is in the E & R amendments on page 26, if you want to follow, and talks about the \$10 alternative methodology that can be used to calculate the weekly benefits of a worker under the system. And you may vaguely recall that when we had