

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 11, 2005 LB 382

SENATOR STUHR: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Had anybody ever thought of that?

SENATOR STUHR: I believe we have it defined in the original legislation, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Is there anything in the language that relates to tattooing that says the person who is the recipient must be alive? Does it say a living person?

SENATOR STUHR: I am not sure. I would have to check that out.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But in order that the record is clear and we have a legislative history, it is your intent that the person be living?

SENATOR STUHR: Yes. Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr,--and I ask this question on behalf of Senator Foley, because he hasn't been paying attention--would this apply to a fetus also, as one to be tattooed? If that process should be usable on a fetus, would it apply apply there, the same conditions and protections?

SENATOR STUHR: Are you asking...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator...

SENATOR STUHR: ...are you addressing...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, ma'am.

SENATOR STUHR: ...that question to me?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, ma'am.

SENATOR STUHR: Well, I believe that would be very difficult.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I couldn't understand you, I'm sorry.