

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2005

LB 38

SENATOR RAIKES: ...there would be a decision by Central as to what they would need to do in terms of the local property tax funding they need. And I couldn't tell you exactly what they might do. But they do operate under a levy lid, which they would continue to have to do.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Thank you, Senator Raikes. And it's my understanding then that those dollars would realistically coming from their only source. That only source would be property valuation. So it would be property taxpayers that would be taking care of this. Would this be right, Senator Raikes?

SENATOR RAIKES: No, it could be either property taxes or tuition.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Or tuition.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yeah, the...

SENATOR STUTHMAN: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR RAIKES: Um-hum.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuthman. Senator Raikes, you are next to speak.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President, members. I'll continue on, if I might, with the handouts, to give you...I didn't...apologize for not explaining, or attempting to explain sooner, what they purport to show. The first one, the one that says, calculated max and actual tax at 97 percent yield, in the upper left-hand corner, shows for two years, 2003-2004 as well as 2004-2005, what the maximum levy available for the community college areas was, and what they actually charged. You can see in both cases that the only area that charged or levied the maximum they were allowed was Northeast. And again, I think that relates back to the financial predicament that Northeast finds itself in. The second page of that same handout underscores the point that Senator Pederson made, that enrollments are increasing. Community colleges are an area of