

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2005

LB 689A

oppose that bill, and they ought to look at the ones they're in league with--those who talk about people whose sexual orientation is different, as though they're going to go out here and have sex with animals, and as though they're one step above being animals, and in some cases less than animals. Those are the kind of attitudes that are ruling the Judiciary Committee. My friend Senator Combs also, she wants everybody to carry a gun, but she doesn't want everybody to be able to get a job without being discriminated against. Am I upset? Moderately. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Chambers, there are no further lights on, so I will...the Chair will recognize you to close on your bracket motion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Once again, I'm the last one standing, the last one to blink, but that's the way things go on the floor. And I'm not going to take this motion to a vote, but there are things that I think need to be said. And since the Judiciary Committee is so narrow-minded, intolerant and discriminatory, I'm going to offer my amendment on various people's bills to prohibit discrimination against people in employment based on sexual orientation. So if you have a bill that relates to anything where there is, by a stretch of the imagination, employment involved or people doing it, you're going to see the Chambers amendment. The way we should do it is to bring that bill out here and discuss it, but my colleagues, whom I have helped, cannot see fit to help other people who cannot help themselves. The one good thing that comes out of Senator Thompson's premature leaving, and I will never forgive her for that, the person who's coming down here is named Gay. It will be the only time in my time that we will have an openly admitted gay person in the Legislature. But see how we have to go about getting it? Our female colleague has to leave and the Governor has to appoint somebody. That's the only way we get it. We won't even discuss the issue. And Senator Stuhr wants me to be teary-eyed and concerned about rural people. Why? They don't care about others discriminated against and kept from even having a job. And she wants me to vote to spend millions for her people, some of whom, by the way, may be gay? But if they could weed them out, by God, they would not get benefits of any