

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 6, 2005

LB 689A

the \$10 million to zero, but leave in the A bill the \$10,000 necessary to conduct and finish the study. And then the third amendment, Senator Chambers, independent of any discussion with myself, actually filed the same amendment to reduce the appropriation to zero. I'm not sure how to handle the debate. I think maybe that we should just do as we will with this amendment to reduce it to \$8 million, and then have the...what I think is the real discussion, which is, do we fund this at all at this point in time, or do we wait until we know what it is the study recommends specifically before we commit ourselves to many millions of dollars? In any event, that's where things lie at the moment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Further discussion on the Stuhr amendment. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Stuhr a question or two, before I launch into a diatribe this morning.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuhr, would you...

SENATOR STUHR: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: ...respond?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Stuhr, who would primarily benefit from this bill if it were to be enacted into law and all of the money that is being sought was to be provided?

SENATOR STUHR: Senator Chambers, the schools and the children across the state. But I think from the discussion yesterday that we don't know...and that was the point that we wanted to make, is that it is going to be the main task and challenge of the task force to look at what these costs will be, to...you know, to do this work.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And I wasn't looking mainly at...

SENATOR STUHR: And we wanted to set out, you know, an amount,...