

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 4, 2005

LB 709

naturally, it would cost a lot, so only the better off people would be able to afford this delicacy, for example, landlords. And Swift wrote that since the landlords had consumed all of the parents, virtually, who better had title to the children than the landlord? But this document has been described as the most bitter and desperately sarcastic of anything written in English literature. And it dealt with a real situation. When you look at the original form of this bill, and you see on page 2, in lines 10 and 11, the words: reduce the number of persons who are dependent on Medicaid...medical assistance benefits," that shows the mind-set and the direction of this bill. No matter how it is sugarcoated or prettified, the aim is to put the burden on the poor. When I was talking against those lengthy disqualifications for unemployed workers, people wouldn't tie how Scrooge-like and gouging these employers are into the kind of issue we're dealing with today. They compartmentalize, and they don't bring the two together. But we're seeing that they do mesh. Business has been able to get along...away for too long. Senator Jensen was over there reading off various activities, programs, agencies, and he would say, no money for that, no money for that. But you're going to have enough money to give a \$30 million giveaway on top of what business is getting already. That's the incentive program, they call it, for business. The welfare program. As they pay less and less in taxes, who's going to pay more and more? The population base isn't increasing. But you don't want to tie that into what we're talking about here today, do you? Because this is a politically motivated move to give the appearance that something is being done that's of value. This study...I don't question Senator Erdman's motives. I've talked to him. I think his motives are pure. But there are others behind the scenes who are not pure. Senator Jensen mentioned certain things that, if they were done, we could reduce the reliance of people on Medicare. I just read the other day of a Spanish proverb: If my grandma had wheels, she would be a scooter. So things don't always follow. We could all speculate about what would make things better. But we are faced with a realistic set of circumstances, where the poor, once again, are to be victimized. But contrary to what Swift recommended, I wouldn't say, turn the children into food. Since the bulk of the money for Medicaid goes to old people, such as myself--I'm old now--and the