April 1, 2005   LR 2

operation of the facility was for a religious purpose; something
that would be, on its face, I think would not be primarily.
Okay? It would be...it would fall on the face. We would not
have the power to create a mechanism to not honor the use of
that word. Now, to the extent that we don't subvert that
definition, fly in the face of it, I think we would be
authorized to, in fact, do rules and establish practices for
determining what would be less than primary use. However, we
wouldn't,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...I think, have total power and couldn't
subvert the meaning of this language.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. In other places in this amendment, you
have this phrase that I really like a lot which says "as
determined by law" and you have it made applicable to other
sections here, but not to the section that deals with the
question of whether...the question of a primary use. Would you
see any use to...or would it be valuable to insert that "as
determined by law" phrase and make it applicable to that
section, to make it more clear that the Legislature can
determine...

SENATOR LANDIS: No. I'll tell you why. What I don't want is I
don't want this Legislature being able to take this language and
interpret it so far that, in fact, you could subvert it. If we
make this promise that the primary purpose can't be religious,
then a Legis...if we said it can be religious...primarily it
can't be religious as determined by law, we could come back, I
think, and subvert that definition. I think this is an
arrangement between the people and us, in which they say to us,
look, we'll let you do this but don't use this. What we're not
going to do is buy churches.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time. I'm sorry, Senator Landis, but time is
up, Senator Beutler. Senator Stuthman. I'm sorry. Senator
Stuthman, there is a motion on the desk. Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: Senator, I apologize. Senator Chambers would move to