

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2005 LB 4

extent that I can, to bring it closer to what might be constitutional for this Legislature to do. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, it's now your time, Senator. It is now your time to speak.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, thank you. I didn't have to rush quite as much. This is the point that I wanted to get across. If you sign a contract, you are bound by that contract. If there was a meeting of the minds, if there was consideration given, and that can be a promise for a promise, I oblige myself to do certain things to your benefit or take on certain obligations that are to my detriment in order to get you to agree to this that we're talking about and we have to be able to show that we both see what we're agreeing to the same way. Your signature to a contract can be...create a presumption that you know what's in here and that you went along with it, unless it's what they call an adhesion contract where one party has so much more power than the other that the other is put into a take-it-or-leave-it position and has no chance to modify the contract, but is in a position, nevertheless, of being more or less coerced into having to go along with this. Those adhesion contracts are considered illegal. When it comes to what the Legislature can enter into, it's not likely that any court would say that it's an adhesion contract or the Legislature was overreached. The Legislature is able, collectively, to consider what it's going to obligate its state to do or to refrain from doing. Those other people who will agree to this language are entitled to think that Nebraska, through its Legislature, thoroughly reviewed this language and found it acceptable, or they're entitled to believe that Nebraska had the opportunity to do so whether they took advantage of the opportunity or not. I get hung up on a lot of these bills which are not my bills. But when it comes to binding the Legislature, and I'm a member of the Legislature, I feel an obligation to the institution to not let something like this go across the board and receive the approval of the Legislature if there are things in it which I'm convinced go contrary to what the Legislature may constitutionally agree to. This Legislature, based on what the courts have said, cannot agree to give up its power to