

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2005      LB 4

amendments to the compact may be proposed by the interstate commission for enacting by the compacting states. No amendment shall become effective and binding upon the interstate commission and the compacting states unless and until the enacted amendment is enacted by unanimous consent of the compacting states. And Article XI states a compacting state may withdraw from the compact by enacting a statute specifically repealing the statute which enacted the compact into law. There are ways, ways to step out of this. But again, I think we're missing the bigger issues, including the fact that a lot of the old compact was done before there were open meeting laws, and the new compact will develop rules that, if not identical to the old rules, as written, the new compact states that existing rules governing the operation of the Interstate Compact on Juveniles will be null and void after 12 months from the first meeting, and then they'll be able to put the new rules in effect. This isn't unlike any other board or commission. In fact, we have a lot...this...but this is a compact among the states, where we're trying to do a better job of taking care of those administrative problems that may slow down movement of juveniles, that may not...result in not having their effective treatment, and, to get down to the brass tacks of what often goes on, arguing about how the bills are going to be paid. And this is a way to improve those processes. It's not rewriting juvenile codes. It's dealing with an administrative aspect when a child moves from one state to the other or has absconded and moves from one state to the other, and puts into place a mechanism by which the states can manage that. It's a management tool. It's not getting into policy beyond the intent to deal with the administrative problems and the management of the movement of juveniles. So that's what this is about. It is detailed. I've sat on the floor and voted on bills that had three or four hundred pages of regulations that someone else wrote and worked with. We occasionally go into those and make some changes here and there. This isn't an odd process. It wouldn't be odd, I don't believe, for the Judiciary Committee to advance it because this was developed by a process and this has been the language that's been adopted in...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.