

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2005      LB 4

another provision of the bill, because it's not entirely clear to me how we get rid of a law if they make one that we don't like. And when I look at page 18 of the bill, Section E, that seems to be the dominant provision or the ruling provision, but I would be subject to correction here. But what it says is, "If a majority of the legislatures of the compacting states reject a rule, those states may, by enactment of a statute or resolution in the same manner used to adopt the compact, cause that such rule shall have no further force and effect in any compacting state." What it seems to be saying to me is, you're stuck with this rule unless you do one of two things. Unless you develop some sort of cooperative effort with a majority of the other states in some kind of process that's aside and beyond the process that we're setting out here, unless you can get them all together in a majority and reject it and all pass statutes rejecting a particular rule, then that rule is going to be in full force and effect. Now that's a very cumbersome, awkward process for rejecting a rule, I think you would agree, and so it behooves us to be sure that our representative and the rules that are in place are as agreeable as possible to us and as much in conformity with our existing statutes as possible. And then the other alternative if you don't like a rule, I guess, is you can just withdraw from the compact. But Senator Thompson has stated the reasons why it's not a good idea to get... to leave the compact. That's a...that's like a killer remedy. There are going to be some things that we object to, I think, that maybe we want to change, but who wants to be faced with an all-or-nothing decision of getting rid of the compact as opposed...as a way of dealing with a particular rule? So if there are additional ways of objecting to a rule that we don't like, I would invite those to be pointed out. But the difficulty of getting rid of a rule, the dominance of the rule, the fact that this compact is so different from the previous one in the sense that this is a lawmaking compact, a lawmaking commission that we're establishing, it seems to me, then, that we should put somebody who directly represents the lawmaking branch of government into that position as our de facto representative. So that's the purport of the amendment and I would recommend it to your attention. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK:      Thank you, Senator Beutler. You heard the