

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 31, 2005 LB 4

that was typical practice--protect the girls from themselves, make sure they didn't get pregnant. In fact, in the eight years I've been working on this issue in the Legislature, there are some paternalistic attitudes within the court that continue to happen. I don't want this old language in our statute anymore. I want our purpose to be much more in tune with what is actually happening out there. And the purpose of this compact is to ensure that the adjudicated juveniles and status offenders subject to the compact are provided adequate supervision and services in receiving...in the receiving state, as ordered by the adjudicated judge and parole authority in the sending state; ensure that the public safety interests of citizens, including the victims of juvenile offenders, in both sending and receiving states are adequately protected; return juveniles who have run away, absconded, or escaped from supervision or control to (sic) have been accused of an offense to the state requesting their return; make contracts for the cooperative institutionalization in public facilities in member states for juvenile youth needing special services. And there are another 20 lines. This is the type of thing the compact should come together for and to do. This is why we need to update the policy language and the ways we're going to carry this out. It is time to modernize this law and, in doing so, we work with other states trying to accomplish these same goals. This is what they're working on. And in order to do that, we have to come to the table with them, and we have to send someone there with some authority to be able to put those rules and regulations into place to accomplish these goals. If they're not accomplishing these goals, we ought to pull out of the compact. But we shouldn't nitpick, which I know it's a good thing that we nitpick, but I don't think it's a good thing that we stay with the old language. This is what's outdated, and it was put in there because of the attitude toward girl offenders and we know, and we know from the study of our own system in this state, that girls have been locked up for lesser offenses than the boys at our two facilities. We know that the system treats them differently and sometimes that's because of their sexuality. And so the language about morals in here should be taken out. It should...that is not the purpose of juvenile court. It's not to make those paternalistic decisions. Those are made through families, through our society, other places.