

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2005      LB 273

not unusual for the Legislature. That's all that means, not that it should be indulged in and continued. It means we should try to bring it to a halt and that's my job. I'm the mentor. Remember, brothers and sisters, friends, enemies and neutrals, I've been here longer than anybody else. I'm known as the dean of the Legislature. I'm old. Old people are entitled to have moods. Every now and then I feel a mood coming on and I indulge myself in that mood. You all are close to being old. If you're not old or you won't admit it, you know old people. You know we have moods, don't you? You don't know what we're subject to do. You don't know what we're subject to say. But if we say something you don't like, you just say, well, he's old, she's old. But we get said what we think needs to be said, and you all go along wearing a false face. I tell my colleagues frequently, don't run around here counting votes. It's not going to pan out that way, in most instances, if the particular bill is controversial or can generate controversy. I said I'm not going to carry this motion to a vote, and I don't intend to. Mr. President, I withdraw that pending motion.

SENATOR CUDABACK: The bracket motion is withdrawn. We are back now to discussing AM0639. Senator Cunningham.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Thank you, Senator Cudaback and members. I will use this opportunity again to explain what I just a few moments ago explained. But where we are at this point, if you adopt the committee amendments, we have the Synowiecki amendment in there that includes enterprise zones. That includes the enterprise zones. So that's where we are with the committee amendments. We still have to pass the A bill and, as I've stated also, I have an amendment to the A bill to make that \$500,000. Because of an agreement that I had with Senator Synowiecki, when he came up and asked me if he...if I minded if he included the enterprise zones, I said I would be against that unless he brought more money. In his amendment, his amendment said \$1 million, but that was in the bill itself and, as Senator Chambers has explained to you, that doesn't mean anything. The money comes in the A bill. So, very clearly, I will support the Synowiecki amendment because of the agree...or the committee amendments because of the agreement I had with Senator Synowiecki. I find it very hard to go back on my word. I find