

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2005 LB 161

Senator Beutler and some of the other folks in Lincoln can correct me if I'm wrong, but that's my understanding, based on the...based on the testimony that we received in the committee, is that they felt like statutory language prevented them from taking advantage of some of those, what they considered to be beautification efforts, that they couldn't take advantage of before.

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, as I understand it, the statutory language they're concerned about would prevent them from charging property owners for this. They could still...the city could still plant the trees even without this, right?

SENATOR FRIEND: But it's my understanding...right, but it's my understanding that a business owner couldn't be necessarily...I mean if it's helping that business owner in the...please, somebody again correct me if I'm wrong, but it's my understanding that if that business' owner is being enhanced or those...that business district is being enhanced by that beautification, then...

SENATOR RAIKES: But...

SENATOR FRIEND: ...then should they be...

SENATOR RAIKES: ...I think you make a good point,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...but if that were...excuse me? Did you say time?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I said one minute, Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Oh, thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: I'm sorry.

SENATOR RAIKES: But if it were enhanced, if the property were enhanced, wouldn't that show up in the assessed value of the property and therefore show up on the property tax bill