

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2005 LB 753

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Time. Thank you, Senators Beutler and Raikes. Senator Howard, you're recognized to speak.

SENATOR HOWARD: Thank you, Madam Chairman. It troubles me deeply, and I feel I need to stand and address this, that so often the concerns that receive the most attention have the most effective lobbyists. The district I come from is composed, in large measure, of very old homes owned by people that have maintained those homes and have valued those homes, and yet we, and I'm very frustrated in this, have been unsuccessful in having the issue addressed regarding sales tax on repairs of these homes. And yet, a concern is here asking for relief on sales tax when, in fact, I don't feel their concern is nearly as justified as the homeowners' would be in my district. And although it is a good measure to begin with one concern, why would this be the one? I support Senator Beutler in his concerns, and I, too, am very concerned about this. Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to speak, and I return my time to the Chair.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Howard. We are on the debate of AM0643, the Revenue Committee amendment. Seeing no further lights, Senator Landis, would you like to close on the Revenue Committee amendment?

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Senator Schimek. Members of the Legislature, good discussion; certainly two points of view here; no reason, you know, that there isn't a second point of view. If you want to vote against the Revenue Committee amendment, that's fine. It would return us to the green copy of the bill which, by the way, is broader and costs \$6 million. But you may also oppose the bill itself. If you oppose the bill, it will leave the question of the application of the bright line between the time that we passed LB 1017 last year and the time that we passed the construction bill the year before. However, I understand that, at least from Senator Beutler and Senator Howard's point of perspective, there is a concern about pre-LB 759 cases and that would constitute, I understand, the large majority of this amount of money. It is not a significant amount of money between LB 759 and LB 1017. In the end, you're