

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 30, 2005 LB 361

so he sealed the affidavit and the order. I and a lawyer named Bill Gallup filed motions to unseal those documents. When they were unsealed, it was clear to everybody that there was no probable cause stated in the officer's affidavit, no probable cause in the order that was issued by the judge. So the bill is brought to make sure that when a person is having DNA taken against his or her will, there must be probable cause. Senator...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...Friend's amendment goes to that part of the law. Because it is written saying that notwithstanding any other provision of law, Senator Friend's amendment is designed to make it clear that we are not doing away with the part of the law that allows such a sample to be taken in compliance with a court order or if it's voluntary. So I agree with Senator Friend's amendment. He had it drafted in connection with the city attorney, the city prosecutor in Omaha. So the three of us are in agreement. And I hope you will support his amendment. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Erdman, on AM0903.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Thank you, Mr. President. I wonder if Senator Friend would yield to a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Friend, would you yield?

SENATOR FRIEND: Yes, I will.

SENATOR ERDMAN: It's not a tough question. You didn't have to take that long. Is this a friendly amendment?

SENATOR FRIEND: Well, I'll let anyone that's actually interested be the judge of that. But I believe it is, yes.

SENATOR ERDMAN: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Anybody else wishing to speak to the