## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 29, 2005 LB 150

Hudkins back now. I'd like to ask Senator Hudkins a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Hudkins, would you yield?

SENATOR HUDKINS: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Hudkins, have you or has anybody you know of contributed more than that \$1 per head and earmarked it for this splendid program of promotion, advertising, and research?

SENATOR HUDKINS: We have not, because we don't want that extra money to be used for lobbying for something that we don't necessarily agree with.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, you can earmark it for that program.

SENATOR HUDKINS: Well, okay, then to answer your question, we have not. Do I know of anybody who has? They may have, but I don't know that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Hudkins. And that was not designed to be a trick question. It's just to show that people are going to do no more than what they're required to do, despite how great and wonderful they say this program is. they know there are more small producers than there are large ones, and they know that they want these small producers to be compelled to ante up that money, and they're also hoping that some of them will not go through the trouble entailed in seeking the refund. So they're counting on that, and I believe budgets are built on the assumption that a certain percentage of these people who would be entitled to a refund, if they want it, not seek it, and that money will be absorbed. But I'm going back to the main point of this whole approach that I'm taking. You conservatives want to take people's money against their will and put it into a private operation, into a propaganda machine. That's what you conservatives want this government to do. many of you are socialists in here? Not "sociable." How many of you are socialists? Raise your hand. I don't see any hand raised, not one. That's for the record. How many of you are communists in here, from the standpoint of an economic, not a