

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 29, 2005      LB 150

could strike down the current mandatory checkoff. It could, as a corollary, say, and no money may be taken involuntarily from any producer for the purposes laid out, the promotion. I call it the propaganda. So even what is being proposed in this bill could be struck down, but I don't actually know for sure.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: So, in other words, you know, there would be the possibility that the federal government could rule, the Supreme Court could rule that what we're discussing today, you know, would have no future; that all, you know, mandatory checkoffs or voluntary checkoffs would have any bearing on anything, and we may have to go to, you know, if people want to contribute. I would also like to just talk a little bit about the conversation that was with Senator Loudon before, you know, the possibility of, you know, contributing more dollars. Yes, cattle...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR STUTHMAN: ...cattle producers can contribute more dollars, but those in-addition dollars would go towards the lobbying effect of the Cattlemen's Association. The promotional dollars, the \$1 checkoff, you know, would be utilized for the promotion, advertising, research. But the other additional dollars, which are not mandatory, which would be just contributed by Senator Loudon, if he wants to send in another \$1,000, those dollars would go into the lobbying effect of the National Cattlemen's Association. So I just wanted to kind of clarify that. You know, people can contribute. They can contribute as much as they want to. But, you know, that dollars does not go in the pool. That is under the jurisdiction of the program. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Stuthman. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd like to ask Senator Stuthman a question.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Stuthman.