

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 29, 2005 LB 150

us that produces cattle and show you how dependent we are upon the checkoff to help us market those cattle. I don't have very many cows and I can't...I can't, quite honestly, I can't buy an ad in the World-Herald or Lincoln Journal or a national magazine to help me promote my cattle. I have to depend on that \$1 checkoff. And I would encourage you to think about the people that apparently have enlisted your...for help to stop this checkoff when they're the very ones that need it the most. I'm one of them. Without this program, I can't...I can't mount an advertising campaign with my few head of cows. Would you agree with that?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But my amendment does not stop you from contributing at all. Let the willing. As your President said about those in Iraq, let it be a coalition of the willing.

SENATOR BAKER: Well, that's the point. The bill, the only thing we're quibbling about here is whether you get...can check...or you can opt out at the point of sale. It'd be a bookkeeping nightmare. This is an option and I think we're quibbling over minor details here. I think, first place, you're not going to see very many people opt out. I don't know what they're telling you out in the lobby, but I don't think you'll see...the large producers aren't going to opt out, and I'm a small producer and I'm certainly not going to opt out, because without this help I can't market my cattle.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well,...

SENATOR BAKER: I just...I don't have the wherewithal to do it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Baker, if I'm going to weigh on the one side bookkeeping inconvenience and on the other a right of the citizens in this country not to have their property taken from them, I come down on the side of the citizens and I'm not worried about the bookkeeping inconvenience. But you know, I think, that the ones who would object the most to what I'm talking about would be the packers, because they are the ones to whom most of these cattle will be sold, and the packers are the ones who would be objecting. Isn't that true?