

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 24, 2005      LB 110, 361

without having to get a signature from that individual's ex-spouse, who may fail to or refuse to execute such a deed. I'm sure Senator Flood has additional information on that bill as well. LB 110 strikes a sunset provision in an immunity provision of a...this is rather confusing. A number of years ago, actually I think it was last year or the year before, the school associations came to the Legislature and were concerned that if one of their teachers administered an epi-pin injection to a student, they wanted to make sure that even though that teacher or faculty member had some training, they wanted to make sure that there was some immunity if that administration of the epi-pin resulted in some harm. And at the time, they felt that it was an urgent need. And so the bill at the time did not have a hearing, and so the compromise was met that it would be added into an education bill with a sunset provision. Then they would...the teachers association would come back with a hearing. And that's what they've done. So LB 110 simply strikes the language that sunsets the immunity provision of an epi-pin administration so that it extends it indefinitely. And with that, Mr. President, those are the three bills in this section of the committee amendment. I'd be happy to answer any questions if you may have them. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. You've heard the opening on second component of FA126. Open for discussion. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I want to talk a little bit with Senator Flood about Sections 23 and 24 of the bill. And as I understand it, this is Senator Flood's piece of the bill, which was a separate bill at one point in time. And the process that Senator Flood is suggesting with regard to a certificate is one that may be a helpful process. However, there is an existing process, and there are problems that pertain to the existing process. And then there's Senator Flood's new process. And the point of the conversation today is to explore the new process as compared to the old process a little bit, and talk about what some of the pros and cons are. And I indicated to Senator Flood, I certainly wasn't going to be interested in amending the bill until I had some firmer idea of what his intentions were and what his thoughts