

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 23, 2005      LB 273

about that much money and it's done for a study. By then you're getting into kind of a high dollar study and the money is gone. And when you're talking getting into the \$75,000 range, then that's a sizeable check if you do that very many times. So I hate to be one that say that I don't support rural economic development and that sort of thing, because I certainly do, but I don't know if this is the right mechanism at the present time to carry on with. So at the present time, I don't know as I can support this bill and, of course, the amendment of Senator Synowiecki's is...I probably wouldn't support that either if I can't support the bill. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Louden. On with discussion of AM0845. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, every now and then Senator Landis will speak and remind me of why I have always thought so much of him, and then he does something foolish like that Cabela bill and it reminds me of a statement, I don't know if Jonathan Swift was the one who said it, but he indicated that if you give 1 bad reason in your argument, you wipe out 100 other good reasons that you may have provided. So here Senator Landis is just talking and I'm just going back to the old days when he was really more focused and I'm saying, "Uh-huh, go, Dave, go." And if I was with the kids, you know how you make those moves to emphasize it with gestures. He was talking about the need to focus, to kind of corral all these different programs, come up with a large enough amount if we're going to expend some money, so that something will be produced from that expenditure, an impact will be made. It will be possible to look at what the situation was at point A and consider what it is at point Z, and look at the steps in between to see if anything was achieved. But certainly, you want there to have been an improvement by the time you get to point Z over what it was at point A. He's laying all that out. And then something reminded me that I've got a bone to pick with David Landis and what is it, and Cabela jumped up and started erasing all those good things he was saying. But they were so good, I pushed Cabela aside. And his articulation of what we're facing and the approach we ought to take I think is worthy of a prudent Chairperson of the Revenue Committee, would that he always were