

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2005 LB 739

diminishes or has the very real potential to diminish benefits that workers would receive if it were not for this language. Isn't that true? Let me simplify the question. With this new language there is a good probability that some workers will receive less in benefits than they would receive if this language were not there. Isn't that true?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: In more years down the road, that could be, and that's why I told Senator Beutler I would work with him on that issue.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Business is not going to do anything that's going to increase the benefits for the workers. Isn't that true?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, as you've stated earlier, it's my bill, Senator Chambers, and that's one that I happen to agree with Senator Beutler.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think, then, that we should index these benefits to whatever you want to index it, to make sure that this \$10 doesn't become, in fact, a nullity as far as...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...being of value, or you're not for indexing of any kind?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: No, I said I would be for looking at something, but I don't...I've got to look and see how the numbers play out over a period of years. So I am looking at something different than a straight amount, and I'm willing to take suggestions. I also said that the 3.4 percent that Senator Beutler has in one of his amendments would not be acceptable.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And because my time is so close to running out, I will stop now. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on FA114, offered by Senator Chambers to the first divided amendment. Senator Loudon, on that amendment.