

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 22, 2005      LB 739

he lost any mitigation whatsoever?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, the commissioner probably wouldn't have any authority over this, but some of the, like, in the good cause quits and the fired for misconduct, some of that stuff is decided by case law, and by past case law, then the adjudicators in the Department of Labor have authority to make decisions.

SENATOR LOUDEN: They still have that in this law?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

SENATOR LOUDEN: I see. Now I guess one other question is, usually whenever you have anything with wages or labor even, retirement funds and everything, there's always a COLA involved, a cost of living adjustment. How come there isn't...is there a COLA in here, or am I not...have I not been able to read where it's at?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Are you talking on the weekly benefit amount?

SENATOR LOUDEN: Yes.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: No, there isn't, and that's what Senator Beutler and I were talking about earlier, that I agree that at some point down the road the \$10 a week addition maybe isn't the right way to do it. But a straight 3.4 percent, as is in one of his amendments, I don't think is the right way to do it, either. So I'm open to listening to that, but I haven't heard a good answer yet.

SENATOR LOUDEN: Well, now, when we voted on this amendment of Senator Chambers' awhile ago for \$10, \$20, and that sort of thing, is that what the \$10 is for, is to take a case...take the...for the benefit of a COLA?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

SENATOR LOUDEN: And that would be a higher...\$10 would be a higher percentage on 200. Now, is that...that \$10 is on \$280