

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2005      LB 739

we're talking about arriving at a whole number, if we rounded it up or down? I see, if this was done by the department what I had said, even when we come to pennies even, the department is interested in kowtowing to business. If I offered an amendment further down the line, if this bill survives, every place where they round a dollar amount down, would you be willing to round it up to the next whole dollar?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, just initially I would tell you that I very seriously would consider it, but I would have to check and see what the impacts are. I don't know that at this point.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, I'm sure the ones they impact are out there working right now and they'll tell you what you're supposed to do. When you say impact, I interpret that to mean business community. But now let me continue, and it's...that is one of the things that leading me to the amendment that I'm offering. We continue: except that an individual's weekly benefit amount shall not exceed the lesser of one-half of the state average weekly wage as annually determined under this particular section or the previous year's maximum weekly benefit amount plus \$10. Why do we make it the lesser rather than the greater? It's because business wants it that way. Isn't that true?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: That would be one of the provisions that business wanted, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So this part of the first division can be described as the business interest. We're dealing with what it is they want and which the committee agreed to give them. Is that true?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: That would be correct.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why and how was \$10 that you add to this lesser amount arrived at, if you know?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: I can't tell you exactly. It was just a number arrived at in the discussions. And during those discussions, organized labor and business were part of it,