## TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

March 17, 2005 LB 739

a matter of fact. Right?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes. I mean, we really don't expect to use the surcharge, but it could be levied each of those four years.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. But beyond that four years, it could not be levied.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: That's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So if, on page 27, if for some reason, and I understand that the argument is that this whole thing is constructed so that this wouldn't happen, but if, on page 27, it indicates that the maximum weekly benefit would not increase if the reserve ratio drops below that .4.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: That's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. So if ten years out, for some reason the calculations in this bill were not correct and the fund fell below .4, there would never be any weekly increases thereafter until the fund came above .4 and no emergency surcharge would kick in. Would that be accurate?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, that's not the intent. The intent would not affect the...

SENATOR BEUTLER: I...well, I'm trying to...I understand the intent, and I'm trying to understand, though, what could happen so that we...so that we get clear...

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Well, in future years if the fund were to drop to that level, the array factor, which is part of this bill--it's a new way of bringing in the revenue--that would take care of it because it's designed, specifically designed when the fund falls lower, it's designed to bring in enough money to bring the fund back up to where it was the previous year.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Have you done those arithmetic computations?

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Yes. I mean, we've ran numbers...