

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 15, 2005 LB 206

Would you want a doctor to feel, when conducting heart surgery, that he or she can be negligent and not be liable; the dentist can pull the wrong tooth and not be liable? I hope you all will think about this. And for those who come in here for these prayers every day, if you do it to the least of these my brethren and "sisterings," you have done it unto me. Apply it now. Show me a thing or two that all of this praying, pontificating and religiosity does with reference to your conduct when it comes to those you have a responsibility to help look out for. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. You've heard the opening on FA84, the committee amendments to LB 206. Open for discussion on that motion. Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you, Mr. President and members. I didn't intend to get so involved in this discussion up here because I just wanted to indicate my support for the bill, but, Senator Chambers, I think you have raised a good point here. And I understand from your discussion of your amendment that you will be removing both the amendment in the amendment...or you will be removing both Section 33 in the amendment and in the bill. And, Senator Chambers, might I ask you a question, please?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, would you respond to a question from Senator Schimek?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Or may I?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will answer.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Chambers, do you then intend to offer an amendment to replace this language in any way?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, then, without this grant of immunity, if people are negligent they are liable for their negligence. This language is put in because without it negligent conduct is actionable, so this language was designed to rescue people from liability if they're negligent.