

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 15, 2005 LB 206

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Bourne, thank you also for your work on this. I do have one question about part of the amendment, which you talk about the additional duty for the advisory committee to provide oversight to ensure that persons placed in custody under the Court-Ordered Custody Act are receiving the least restrictive treatment and services necessary. Do you have a vision of how that might work?

SENATOR BOURNE: I don't have a vision, necessarily. I do think this is Senator Byars' area of expertise, but in the committee we were concerned with an individual, a DD individual, just simply being placed in jail and not receiving the least restrictive type of treatment. And so, as I understand it, there will be reports going back to the advisory committee. And then if...and if you also look at the committee amendment, it says that any person...any person can file a motion for review to make sure that that person is getting the least restrictive type of care. And so what I would assume is that there would be a review, the advisory committee would look at this to verify that the individual was, indeed, receiving the least restrictive, not just locked in a cell somewhere.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you. I get a little nervous because I realize these advisory...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: ...committee members are there on a voluntary basis. They won't...it won't be as if they have access to these particular persons that are in custody all of the time. And I guess I would like to be assured that there will be a timely reporting process back to this advisory committee. What does the committee amendment say on that?

SENATOR BOURNE: As far as the time frame?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Right.

SENATOR BOURNE: Let me look into that, Senator Schimek, and see...