

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 11, 2005 LB 76

traffic violations. But what I am about is prohibiting the state from arbitrarily yanking somebody's license, such that they won't be able to earn a living. That's what I'm about. And I want them to have the benefit of the court system to verify that the serious traffic offenses that they allegedly committed were truly serious traffic offenses. So after talking to the director of the Department of Motor Vehicles, here's how the process works. An individual has two serious traffic offenses, and it could be the ones that I outlined, that they made an improper lane change. And again, that's subjective. One police officer might think 100 feet between the cars is improper, and the other would think that 50 is. But be that as it may, that's the way the statute currently reads. And then if they pull that person over and they don't have their commercial driver's license on them, that's a second serious traffic violation. And as I understand it, that's federal law. I can't do any...I don't like it, but there's nothing we can do about it. That person, the truck driver then, continues to hold on to their license. Then they go to court. Once they're at court, they have the opportunity to say, no, this is subjective. They can present evidence. They can say how it wasn't accurate; they didn't do what they were charged with. If the court then convicts them, then the court will notify the DMV that they have two serious traffic violations. So what I'm saying is, I'm comfortable now, and I think we all should be, that that person had at least the opportunity to present themselves into the court. And as I understood Senator Baker, he mentioned that their license was automatically taken, and that's not the case. They are able to go to court to say that these charges are not...are ungrounded. Then the DMV, once they receive notice from the court that this person has two traffic violations, they send them a notice asking for the license back. And then that person can, within a number of days, I think it's ten, they can appeal to the district court and ask for a stay of the revocation of license. And as I understand it, the court usually always gives them a stay. So what I'm saying is, is that the process...I think there's enough procedural due process elements in there that a person isn't simply just having his license taken away, as I understood Senator Baker to say that. I do have some concerns with the overall set of statutes. I don't like the fact that we're adopting U.S. PATRIOT language in