

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 11, 2005 LB 76

differentiation between that and a private vehicle, and not allowing even the availability to enter into a diversion class for these individuals to get educated on their infraction and for them to rectify that behavior, in the personal realm. Do you know what I'm trying to say, Senator Baker? And then my second concern is exactly what you spoke to. If these are preconviction diversionary programs, I don't know the mechanism by which the state could determine that these individuals are participating in it, anyway. And I'll leave the balance of my time for you, Senator Baker. Thank you.

SENATOR BAKER: Senator Synowiecki, I agree that there are problems with the STOP program, in my mind. I'm on record with Senator Beutler, I would suggest we just eliminate the STOP program. And we may through the courts, anyway. But part of the problem with the STOP program is just that it was such a disorganized, disparate program across counties that you never knew what you were getting into. There were various fees and so on. And just luck of the draw where you got stopped for speeding, I guess. If you had a county with a STOP program, you were able to go through it; otherwise, you couldn't. That is why the federal government is putting this in...is requiring us to put this in statute. There's just no uniformity across the state of Nebraska, let alone across the United States, as to who can take these preconviction diversions. That's why they're saying we can't use them anymore. They need to have some uniformity in the statutes so that they know someone with a CDL from Nebraska has not participated in a couple of STOP programs for 20-over speeding. And that goes back to my underlying belief that we really need to get rid of the STOP program. We've improved it a lot over the years. But those of you who didn't serve with Senator Schmitt, a former state patrolman, could see the problems with the STOP program, and consistently argued we ought to just eliminate it. And I agreed with him. But hence, that's why we have this particular language in the underlying bill, LB 76, Section 12.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BAKER: The state simply is requiring all states--and this isn't just picking on Nebraska; but Nebraska, in this...in