

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 11, 2005      LB 76

copy of the bill on General File. And I'd like to follow up, if I could, on just a couple areas within Section 12.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker, would you yield?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, I would yield.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: Just to be clear, the state must not mask, defer imposition of judgment, or allow an individual to enter into a diversion program that would prevent a commercial driver's license conviction for any violation in any type of vehicle.

SENATOR BAKER: That's correct.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: In this...in these instances then, Senator Baker, this would be an individual that has a CDL. For example, if they're driving in their personal vehicle and they fail to turn a blinker on, or are going a couple miles an hour over the speed limit, they are prohibited from even entering into a diversion program. Is that what this says?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, that is, concerning a commercial driver's license only, though.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: How...as far as the mechanisms that the state DMV become aware of these, is it by conviction or by violation that the state...on their driver history abstract, that there's entries put on it? Senator Baker, are you aware of the mechanism there?

SENATOR BAKER: To me, that's part of the problem with the STOP program. These are masked on the county level and they never make it to state DMV, as far as I know. That is a big problem, in my mind. And if we do not allow someone with this, then that infraction is going to be transmitted to the DMV, obviously.

SENATOR SYNOWIECKI: I have no problem, Senator Baker, whatsoever if an individual is practicing in their professional capacity under the provisions of a CDL and operating machinery under the guise of a CDL. But I think...I do think there's a