

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 11, 2005 LB 76

that the process is this--they get the tickets; they continue to drive until they go to court and the court takes away their driver's license. Is that an accurate scenario?

SENATOR BAKER: Yes, I believe so, yes.

SENATOR BOURNE: You believe so? Or can you provide...

SENATOR BAKER: I'm going to have to confirm that.

SENATOR BOURNE: Senator Baker, I guess my time is about out. I'll push my light on again. My...I can appreciate what you're trying to do. You're trying to make the roads safe. But what I'm trying to do is make sure that these folks are...have an appeal procedure such that they can continue to earn a living, simply because a police officer...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Your time is up, Senator.

SENATOR BOURNE: ...made a subjective decision that they improperly changed lanes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. Senator Beutler, on AM0699.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Baker, I also need to make an apology. I wasn't on the floor when this bill came up for General File. But I wanted to ask you about Section 12 of the green copy, if I could, because it contains some language that's unusual, I think you would agree. And I'm interested in what it means or how it would apply. It says in Section 12...and let me just read the whole thing, so those on the floor can understand what we're talking about: The state must not mask, defer imposition of judgment, or allow an individual to enter into a diversion program that would prevent a commercial driver's license driver's conviction for any conviction (sic), in any type of motor vehicle, of a state or local traffic control law, except a parking violation, from appearing on the driver's record, whether the driver was convicted of an offense committed in the state where the driver is licensed or another state. First of all, it says "The state must not." Does that mean there can be