

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

March 10, 2005 LB 53

fact that this is all about rights and redemption and forgiveness because it's not. I still argue that it's political because if this were based on rights, we would be restoring all rights upon completion of the sentence, not even after two years, all rights: first amendment, second amendment, down the line. That's why I admire the Governor for vetoing this, because I think it maintains law and order in our state and not this piecemeal bit that whatever rights can muster the political support in the Legislature, well, then we'll do that. If there's something else, well, then we'll do that, too. I have concerns that there are parts of our voting system that are wide open. I'm not convinced that a convicted felon living in prison...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR SMITH: ...cannot vote. I don't think we have a process in place that protects the disenfranchisement of the rest of the voters. But I'm not here to work on that at this point. I'm not...certainly not up here to speak on that. But we have the process in place that withstands the constitutional scrutiny, provides a pardon for those who deserve it, those who ask for it. And I realize that it might be difficult to sort through some of those issues after a time for criminals who are truly repentant and remorseful, and that is part of the process of restoration is dealing with those issues. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Friend, followed by Senator Dwite Pedersen. Senator Friend.

SENATOR FRIEND: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, I almost turned my light off, and part of that reason that I almost...part of the reason that I almost turned the light off is because of some of the comments that have already been made. Senator Brashear, obviously, as always, eloquent comments. Senator Schimek, very well thought out. Even the proponents of, I guess, the Governor's actions, well spoken. But there's something here that I guess disturbs me a little bit, and that is the idea or the implication that we can't talk, or we shouldn't be talking any more about this