

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 10, 2005 LB 217

with the extra work. Thanks to the folks that have been really paying attention to this: Government Committee counsel, Christy, of course; Senator Schimek; and Senator Chambers. So I would appreciate the adoption of AM0614. Would be happy to answer any questions. I give the balance of my time back to the Chair.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Flood. You've heard the opening on AM0614. (Visitors introduced.) Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, the first amendment I have to Senator Flood's amendment, Senator Beutler, AM0726. (Legislative Journal page 792.)

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler, to open on your amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, since yesterday, I've had a chance to talk to bond counsel and other interested parties in the bill, and also I've had a chance to talk to Senator Flood about it, and my overall impression is that it's an even better bill than I thought it was to begin with. I had had four or five different ideas that were all fairly entertained by Senator Flood, and in an effort to expedite debate today, I think we're at a point where a couple of the amendments are all right with Senator Flood, and I'll let him respond in a minute, and a couple of them I'll withdraw and we'll have some further discussions before Select File, so that for the purpose of getting to other people's bills and facilitating debate perhaps we can move this along. Let me get into a discussion of this amendment but, before I do that, let me yield time to Senator Flood for a couple minutes, in case he would like to comment on the overall treatment of the bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Flood.

SENATOR FLOOD: Well, I guess I appreciate the efforts of Senator Beutler and his interest and his passion for natural resource districts and storm water drainage projects. We have, I think, Senator Beutler, worked out an agreement where two of your four amendments that I could agree to and I'd be happy to start discussing their merits at this time, unless you would